

Lemma

if M is a basis of a vector space $\bar{X}$

Then any element $x \in \bar{X}$ can be uniquely written in the form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i$$

where $\alpha_i \in K$

$e_i \in M$

proof Let $x \in \bar{X}$, since M is a basis

so we can write x as linear combination of element M . so there exist $\alpha_i \in K$, s.t. x can be written as

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i \quad \text{--- (1)} \quad \text{where } e_i \in M$$

Let there is another representation to x , that is mean, $\exists$ exist $\beta_i \in K$, s.t. x can be written as

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i e_i \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

From (1) and (2) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i e_i$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i e_i = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i - \beta_i) e_i = 0$$

$\therefore M$ is linearly independent

so $\alpha_i - \beta_i = 0$

$\Rightarrow \alpha_i = \beta_i$

so x is written uniquely of M .

(2)

Subspace الفضاء الجزئي

Definition

A non empty subset M of a vector space X over K is said to be subspace if M is a vector space over K .

Example

Let $X = \mathbb{R}^3$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ and

1- $M_1 = \{ (x, y, 0) : x, y \in \mathbb{R} \}$

2- $M_2 = \{ (x, 0, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{R} \}$

3- $M_3 = \{ (0, x, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{R} \}$

4 $M_4 = \{ (0, y, z) : x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}, x \geq 0 \}$

each of the above set represent a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Theorem

A non empty subset M of a vector space $\tilde{X}$ over a field K is a subspace of $\tilde{X}$ if and only if

① $x + y \in M$ for all $x, y \in M$

② $\alpha \cdot x \in M$ for all $x \in M, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Note For any vector subspace X , there exists two subspaces are $\{0\}$ zero subspace and X which are called trivial subspaces.

If M is a subspace of X which is not trivial it is called proper subspace.

Example $\mathbb{R}^3$ over $\mathbb{R}$ is vector space
 $M = \{(x, y, 0) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^3$

Solution

$$\text{Let } x, y \in M, \quad x = (x_1, x_2, 0) \quad x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \\ y = (y_1, y_2, 0) \quad y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$x + y = (x_1, x_2, 0) + (y_1, y_2, 0) \\ = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, 0)$$

$$\because x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow x_1 + y_1 \in \mathbb{R} \\ \text{also } x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow x_2 + y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\therefore x + y \in M$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\alpha \cdot x = \alpha (x_1, x_2, 0) = (\alpha x_1, \alpha x_2, 0)$$

$$\because \alpha, x_1 \in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \alpha x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\because \alpha, x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \alpha x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\therefore \alpha \cdot x \in M$$

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

So M is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^3$

Example in $\mathbb{R}^3$ over $\mathbb{R}$

$$M = \{ (0, x, y) \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}, y \geq 0 \}$$

M is not subspace

Solution

$$\text{Let } x, y \in M, \quad x = (0, x_1, x_2) \quad x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}, x_2 \geq 0 \\ y = (0, y_1, y_2) \quad y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}, y_2 \geq 0$$

$$x + y = (0, x_1, x_2) + (0, y_1, y_2) \\ = (0, x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2)$$

$$\because x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow x_1 + y_1 \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\because x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow x_2 + y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\text{and } x_2 \geq 0, y_2 \geq 0 \text{ so } x_2 + y_2 \geq 0$$

$$\therefore x + y \in M$$

$$\alpha x = \alpha (0, x_1, x_2) = (0, \alpha x_1, \alpha x_2)$$

$$\because \alpha, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \longrightarrow \alpha x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\alpha, x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \alpha x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\text{when } \alpha > 0, x_2 \geq 0 \longrightarrow \alpha \cdot x_2 \geq 0$$

$$\text{but } \alpha < 0, x_2 \geq 0 \longrightarrow \alpha x_2 < 0$$

$$\text{So } \alpha x \notin M \text{ when } \alpha < 0$$

So M is not subspace

Theorem

Let M_1, M_2 be subspaces of vector space X over field K . Then

① $M_1 \cap M_2$ is a subspace of X over K

② $M_1 + M_2$ is a subspace of X over K .

proof. ①

Let $x, y \in M_1 \cap M_2$, ~~$x, y \in M_1$~~

$\therefore x, y \in M_1 \rightarrow x+y \in M_1$ since M_1 is subspace

$\therefore x, y \in M_2 \rightarrow x+y \in M_2$ since M_2 is subspace

$\therefore x+y \in M_1$ and M_2

So $x+y \in M_1 \cap M_2$

now let $\alpha \in K$, ~~$x \in M_1$~~

$\therefore \alpha \in K, x \in M_1 \rightarrow \alpha x \in M_1$ since M_1 is subspace

$\therefore \alpha \in K, x \in M_2 \rightarrow \alpha x \in M_2$ since M_2 is subspace

$\therefore \alpha x \in M_1$ and M_2

So $\alpha x \in M_1 \cap M_2$

$M_1 \cap M_2$ is a subspace of X over K

Proof for 2 Let $x, y \in M_1 + M_2$

So either $x, y \in M_1 \rightarrow x+y \in M_1$

since M_1 is a subspace of X

or $x, y \in M_2 \rightarrow x+y \in M_2$

since M_2 is a subspace of X

or $x \in M_1$ and $y \in M_2 \rightarrow x+y \in M_1 + M_2$

~~or~~ similarly if $y \in M_1$ and $x \in M_2$

Let $\alpha \in K$

either $x \in M_1 \rightarrow \alpha x \in M_1$

since M_1 is a subspace of X

or

$x \in M_2 \rightarrow \alpha x \in M_2$

since M_2 is a subspace of X

So $M_1 + M_2$ is a subspace of $M_1 + M_2$

Theorem

Let M_1, M_2 be a subspace of a vector space X over a field K , Then

$$\dim(M_1 + M_2) = \dim(M_1) + \dim(M_2) - \dim(M_1 \cap M_2)$$

Note The union of M_1 and M_2 , $M_1 \cup M_2$ need not to be a vector space.

Example Let $\mathbb{R}^3$ be a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$

$$M_1 = \{ (x, y, 0), x, y \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

$$M_2 = \{ (0, y, z), y, z \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

Find $M_1 + M_2$, $M_1 \cap M_2$, and the dimension of all subspace, show that $M_1 \cup M_2$ is not subspace.

Solution

It is clearly to show that M_1, M_2 are subspace of $\mathbb{R}^3$

$$M_1 \cap M_2 = \{ (0, y, 0), y \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

$$M_1 + M_2 = \{ (x, y, z), x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \} = \mathbb{R}^3$$

now we find $\dim(M_1)$

Let $A_1 = \{ (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0) \}$ be a sub-basis of M_1

Let $x \in M_1$, then $x = (x_1, x_2, 0), x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$x = x_1(1, 0, 0) + x_2(0, 1, 0)$$

$$= (x_1, 0, 0) + (0, x_2, 0)$$

$$= (x_1, x_2, 0)$$

$$\forall x \in M_1$$

$$\text{So } \text{Span}(A_1) = M_1$$

Let $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$d_1(1, 0, 0) + d_2(0, 1, 0) = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$(d_1, 0, 0) + (0, d_2, 0) = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$(d_1, d_2, 0) = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$d_1 = 0$$

$$d_2 = 0$$

28

linearly independent

So A_1 is a basis for M_1 , So

$$\dim(M_1) = 2$$

For finding $\dim(M_2)$

$$\text{Let } A_2 = \{(0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$$

we to show that A_2 is a basis for M_2

$$\text{Let } x \in M_2, \quad x = (0, x_1, x_2)$$

$$x = x_1(0, 1, 0) + x_2(0, 0, 1)$$

$$= (0, x_1, 0) + (0, 0, x_2)$$

$$= (0, x_1, x_2).$$

$\forall x \in M_2$, then $\text{Span } A_2 = M_2$

$$\text{Let } d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$d_1(0, 1, 0) + d_2(0, 0, 1) = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$(0, d_1, 0) + (0, 0, d_2) = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$(0, d_1, d_2) = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$d_1 = 0$$

$$d_2 = 0$$

So A_2 is linearly independent

$\therefore A_2$ is a basis for M_2

$$\dim(M_2) = 2$$

حداكثر القاعد
في A_2
هي 2

$$M_1 \cap M_2 = \{ (0, y, 0) \mid y \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

$$A_3 = \{ (0, 1, 0) \}$$

$$\text{Let } w \in M_1 \cap M_2 \quad w = (0, y, 0)$$

$$w = y(0, 1, 0) = (0, y, 0)$$

$$\forall y \in M_1 \cap M_2$$

$$\therefore \text{span } A_3 = M_1 \cap M_2$$

$$2(0, 1, 0) = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$(0, 2, 0) = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$a = 0$$

$\therefore A_3$ is linearly independent, so

A_3 is a basis

number of element A_3 is 1, so

$$\dim(M_1 \cap M_2) = 1$$

$$\dim(M_1 + M_2) = \dim(\mathbb{R}^3) = 3$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(M_1 + M_2) &= \dim(M_1) + \dim(M_2) - \dim(M_1 \cap M_2) \\ 3 &= 2 + 2 - 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$3 = 3$$

Theorem

Let X be an n -dimensional vector space
Then any proper subspace M of X
has dimension less than n .

proof:

If $n = 0$, then $\dim X = 0$ implies
that $X = \{0\}$ and so there is no
proper subspace of X . When $n \neq 0$
we have $\dim X \geq 1$ and may
be have proper subspace.

note that if $\dim(M) = 0$ implies that
 $M = \{0\}$ which is not proper subspace.

So when $M \neq X$, ~~the number of element~~
of the basis which generated M , can not
generated X , so the number of element M
it will be less than the number of the basis X

$$\text{So } \dim(M) \leq \dim(X) = n$$

$$\text{So } \dim(M) \leq n$$

if $\dim(M) = n$ implies that $M = X$

So $\dim(M) < n$, which is not proper subspace.

disjoint مفصل

Let M_1, M_2 are two vector subspaces of a vector space X over a field K we say that M_1, M_2 are disjoint if $M_1 \cap M_2 = \{0\}$

direct summand

~~totally~~ A vector space X over a field K is called direct summand if there exists two vector spaces M_1 and M_2 such that

- ① $X = M_1 + M_2$
- ② $M_1 \cap M_2 = \{0\}$ are disjoint

we write $X = M_1 \oplus M_2$ if satisfies ① & ② for direct summand.

Example Let R^3 be a vector space over R such that

$$M_1 = \{ (0, y, z), y, z \in R \}$$

$$M_2 = \{ (x, 0, 0), x \in R \}$$

$$M_3 = \{ (x, y, 0), x, y \in R \}$$

Find $M_1 \cap M_2, M_1 \cap M_3, M_2 \cap M_3$
is R^3 direct summand

Solution

$$M_1 \cap M_2 = \{(0, 0, 0)\} \text{ disjoint}$$

$$\dim(M_1 \cap M_2) = \{0\}$$

$$M_1 \cap M_3 = \{(0, 4, 0)\}$$

$$\dim(M_1 \cap M_3) = 1$$

$$M_2 \cap M_3 = \{(x, 0, 0)\}$$

$$\dim(M_2 \cap M_3) = 1$$

$$\text{now } M_1 + M_2 = \{(x, y, z), x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}\} = \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$M_1 \cap M_2 = \{0\}$$

$$\text{so } \mathbb{R}^3 = M_1 \oplus M_2 \text{ direct summand}$$

$$M_1 + M_3 = \{(x, y, z), x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}\} = \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$M_1 \cap M_3 \neq \{0\}$$

$$\text{so } \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ is not direct summand of } M_1 \text{ \& } M_3$$

$$M_2 + M_3 = \{(x, y, 0), x, y \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$M_2 + M_3 \text{ is a proper subspace of } \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ not equal.}$$

Theorem

Let M_1 and M_2 are two subspaces of vector space $\bar{X}$ over field K . Then

$X = M_1 \oplus M_2$ if and only if for any $x \in \bar{X}$ can be written as a unique representation $x = m_1 + m_2$, $m_1 \in M_1$, $m_2 \in M_2$

proof:

Suppose that $X = M_1 \oplus M_2$, so

for any $x \in \bar{X}$, there exists $m_1 \in M_1$, $m_2 \in M_2$ such that $x = m_1 + m_2$, let x has another representation $x = y_1 + y_2$ for $y_1 \in M_1$, $y_2 \in M_2$

$$\text{so } y_1 + y_2 = m_1 + m_2$$

$$y_1 - m_1 = m_2 - y_2$$

$$\because y_1, m_1 \in M_1 \rightarrow y_1 - m_1 \in M_1$$

$$\text{also } m_2 - y_2 \in M_2$$

$$\because y_2, m_2 \in M_2 \rightarrow y_2 - m_2 \in M_2$$

$$\text{now } m_2 - y_2 \in M_1 \cap M_2 = \{0\}$$

$$\text{so } m_2 - y_2 = 0 \rightarrow m_2 = y_2$$

similarly we get that $y_1 = m_1$

So X is uniquely written by M_1 and M_2 .

Conversely

Let $x = m_1 + m_2$, for $m_1 \in M_1, m_2 \in M_2$
with unique representation
 $\therefore x = m_1 + m_2$ for $m_1 \in M_1$ and $m_2 \in M_2$

then $\bar{X} = M_1 + M_2$

now let $x \in M_1 \cap M_2$, Then $x = m_1 + m_2$
has a unique representation

if $x = 0$, then $x = 0 + 0$

if not, that is, mean $x \neq 0$, so there exists

$m_1 \in M_1$ and $m_2 \in M_2$ such that

$$x = m_1 + m_2$$

$m_2 = x - m_1 \in M_1$, which is contradiction
with unique representation so must $x = 0$

implies that $M_1 \cap M_2 = \{0\}$

so $\bar{X} = M_1 \oplus M_2$