

# Testing a Population Mean: Unknown $\sigma$

Dr. Zaid T. Al-Khaledi  
Department of Statistics and Informatics  
University of Mosul

October 9, 2025

## 1 Case 2: $\sigma$ unknown (t-test)

### Formulation

When  $\sigma$  is unknown and the sample is small, we estimate it by  $s$  and use the t-statistic:

$$t = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}},$$

which follows a  $t$  distribution with  $n - 1$  degrees of freedom under  $H_0$ .

$$H_0 : \mu = \mu_0, \quad H_1 : \begin{cases} \mu \neq \mu_0 & \text{(two-sided)} \\ \mu > \mu_0 & \text{(right-tailed)} \\ \mu < \mu_0 & \text{(left-tailed)}. \end{cases}$$

### Decision rule

$$\text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } \begin{cases} |t| > t_{\alpha/2, n-1}, & \text{two-sided test} \\ t > t_{\alpha, n-1}, & \text{right-tailed test} \\ t < -t_{\alpha, n-1}, & \text{left-tailed test} \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha$  is the level of significance (usually  $\alpha = 0.05$ ), and  $n - 1$  is the degrees of freedom ( $df = n - 1$ ).

### Example (Hand Computation)

A nutritionist wants to check if a new diet changes average cholesterol level from the known baseline  $\mu_0 = 200$  mg/dL. A sample of  $n = 10$  participants gives:

$$\bar{x} = 190, \quad s = 12.$$

Use  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

$$t = \frac{190 - 200}{12/\sqrt{10}} = \frac{-10}{3.79} = -2.64.$$

Degrees of freedom:  $df = 9$ .

Critical values for  $\alpha = 0.05$  (two-sided):  $\pm 2.262$ .

Since  $|t| = 2.64 > 2.262$ , we **reject**  $H_0$ . The mean cholesterol level has significantly changed.

### Example: Large $n$ with Unknown $\sigma$ (Use $s$ )

Even when  $\sigma$  is unknown, the CLT tells us that for large  $n$  the sampling distribution of  $\bar{X}$  is close to normal. The standard, modern approach is to use the one-sample **t-test** with  $s$ ; for large  $n$  the  $t$  and  $z$  results are almost the same.

**Scenario.** A device is supposed to deliver  $\mu_0 = 100$  units. A sample of  $n = 50$  readings gives

$$\bar{x} = 98.7, \quad s = 5.2.$$

Test at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

**Two-sided test.**  $H_0 : \mu = 100$  vs  $H_1 : \mu \neq 100$ .

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{98.7 - 100}{5.2/\sqrt{50}} = -1.768 \quad (\text{df} = 49).$$

Critical value:  $t_{0.025,49} \approx 2.009$ . Since  $|t| = 1.768 < 2.009$ , we **fail to reject**  $H_0$ . *Two-sided p-value*  $\approx 0.083$ .

Because  $\sigma$  is unknown, we usually use the t-test. However, with  $n = 50$  the t and normal critical values are close ( $t_{0.025,49} \approx 2.009$  vs.  $z_{0.025} = 1.96$ ).

In both cases, the test statistic  $|t| = |Z| = 1.768$  is below the corresponding critical value, so we **fail to reject**  $H_0$ .

**Left-tailed test.**  $H_0 : \mu = 100$  vs.  $H_1 : \mu < 100$ . Critical value (left tail):  $t_{0.05,49} \approx -1.676$ . Since  $t = -1.768 < -1.676$ , we **reject**  $H_0$  in the left-tailed case. *One-sided p-value*  $\approx 0.042$ .

**Takeaway.** For large  $n$ , using  $t$  with  $s$  or using  $z$  with  $s$  gives very similar decisions; the  $t$  distribution is slightly wider, but the difference is small when  $n$  is large. It is safe and standard to always use the **t-test with**  $s$  when  $\sigma$  is unknown.

## Interpretation

If  $\sigma$  is unknown, we must assume the population is approximately normal (checked using Q-Q plots or Shapiro-Wilk test). For large  $n$ , the t-test and Z-test give nearly the same result.

## Summary of the Procedure

Step	Description
1. State hypotheses	$H_0 : \mu = \mu_0, H_1 : \text{one- or two-sided}$
2. Check assumptions	Normality (if small $n$ ), independence
3. Compute statistic	$Z = (\bar{X} - \mu_0)/(\sigma/\sqrt{n})$ or $t = (\bar{X} - \mu_0)/(s/\sqrt{n})$
4. Find critical value	From normal or $t$ tables for given $\alpha$
5. Decision rule	Reject $H_0$ if test statistic in rejection region
6. Interpretation	Write conclusion in simple words

## Homework: One-Mean Z and t Tests

Use  $\alpha = 0.05$  for all problems. Decide the hypotheses (one- or two-sided), the appropriate test, compute the test statistic and critical value(s), and give a clear conclusion in context.

### Q1 (Factory quality)

A filling line is supposed to deliver **500 mL** per IV bag. An engineering validation study previously measured the process standard deviation as  $\sigma = 4 \text{ mL}$  (assume the process remains stable). From today's production, a simple random sample of  $n = 40$  bags has sample mean  $\bar{x} = 498.9 \text{ mL}$ . Is the process on target?

### Q2 (Sports performance)

A sprint coach evaluates a new warm-up routine for 100 m. From a training group, the following summaries are obtained:

$$n = 25, \quad \bar{x} = 11.82, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = 3500.31.$$

Based on these data, is there evidence that the routine changes the average time from **12.00**?

### Q3 (Medical–HbA1c)

A clinic reviews a lifestyle program intended to improve glycemic control. After the program, a simple random sample of patients shows the following HbA1c (%) values:

6.9, 6.7, 6.8, 7.3, 6.5, 6.6, 6.8, 6.4, 7.1, 6.9, 6.7, 6.8, 6.6, 6.5, 6.8, 7.0.

Using the clinical guideline value **7.0%** as a benchmark, is there evidence that the program achieves a different average HbA1c?

### Candidate Critical Values

Label	Value	Label	Value	Label	Value
$z_{0.10}$	1.282	$z_{0.05}$	1.645	$z_{0.025}$	1.960
$z_{0.01}$	2.326	$z_{0.005}$	2.576	$t_{0.05, 9}$	1.833
$t_{0.025, 9}$	2.262	$t_{0.05, 15}$	1.753	$t_{0.025, 15}$	2.131
$t_{0.05, 24}$	1.711	$t_{0.025, 24}$	2.064	$t_{0.05, 29}$	1.699
$t_{0.025, 29}$	2.045	$t_{0.05, 40}$	1.684	$t_{0.025, 40}$	2.021

All entries are *upper-tail* criticals. For two-sided tests use  $\alpha/2$  (e.g.,  $z_{0.025}$  for  $\alpha = 0.05$ ). Choose the correct sign ( $\pm$ ) and match  $t$  df to  $n - 1$ .