

# Module Description

Module Information			
Module Title	<b>DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTS</b>		Module Delivery
Module Type	Core learning activity		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Theory <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture <input type="checkbox"/> Lab <input type="checkbox"/> Tutorial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Practical <input type="checkbox"/> Seminar
Module Code	DAE2160-AM		
ECTS Credits	5		
SWL (hr/sem)	125		
Module Level	UGII	Semester of Delivery	
Administering Department	AGME1986	College	AGFO1964
Module Leader	Asist. Prof. Dr. Yousif Yakoub Hilal	e-mail	<a href="mailto:yousif.yakoub@uomosul.edu.iq">yousif.yakoub@uomosul.edu.iq</a>
Module Leader's Acad. Title	Professor	Module Leader's Qualification	Ph.D
Module Tutor	N.A.	e-mail	e-mail
Peer Reviewer Name	N.A.	e-mail	E-mail
Scientific Committee Approval Date	1/9/2025	Version Number	1.0

Relation with other Modules			
Prerequisite module	AGS1060-AM	Semester	
Co-requisites module	None	Semester	

Module Aims, Learning Outcomes and Indicative Contents	
Module Objectives	Enable the student to learn how to design experiments in the agricultural field in general and animal production in particular and understand and apply all laws related to analysis processes and testing results and choose the appropriate design for the experiment, how to distribute the parameters to the experimental units, and record the observations to be able to collect data, classify and analyze it, conduct a significance test.
Module Learning Outcomes	The student should be able to: <b>LO#1:</b> Learn and comment on basic statistical topics and analysis <b>LO#2:</b> Statistical package learns data entry . <b>LO#3:</b> Performs statistical analyzes and interprets the results <b>LO#4:</b> Student Performs statistical analyses and comments

<b>Indicative Contents</b>	<p>Indicative content includes the following.</p> <p>Theoretical</p> <p>Enabling the student to learn how to read practical research data and analyze it well, and to understand how electronic statistical analysis programs such as SAS and SPSS work,</p> <p>Total hrs = 63= SSWL - (Exam hrs) = 63-3= 60 (Time table 4hrs x 15 weeks)</p>
----------------------------	---

<b>Learning and Teaching Strategies</b>	
<b>Strategies</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Interactive lecture, Brainstorming</li> <li>2. Dialogue and discussion</li> <li>3. Assigning reports</li> <li>4. Quizzes</li> <li>5. Show examples for writing scientific reports in the correct formats ..</li> </ol>

<b>Student Workload (SWL)</b>			
<b>Structured SWL (h/sem)</b>	63	<b>Structured SWL (h/w)</b>	4
<b>Unstructured SWL (h/sem)</b>	62	<b>Unstructured SWL (h/w)</b>	1
<b>Total SWL (h/sem)</b>	<b>125</b>		

<b>Module Evaluation</b>					
		Time/Number	Weight (Marks)	Week Due	Relevant Learning Outcome
<b>Formative assessment</b>	<b>Quizzes</b>	2	10% (10)	5 and 10	LO #1,LO #3
	<b>Assignments</b>	2	10% (10)	2 and 12	LO #1,LO #4
	<b>Projects/Lab</b>	-	-	-	-
	<b>Report</b>	1	10% (10)	13	all
<b>Summative assessment</b>	<b>Midterm Exam</b>	2hr	10% (10)	7	all
	<b>Final Exam</b>	3hr	50% (50)	16	All
<b>Total assessment</b>			100% (100 Marks)		

<b>Delivery Plan (Weekly Syllabus)</b>	
	<b>Material Covered</b>
<b>Week 1</b>	Some statistical measures
<b>Week 2</b>	Chapter One (Introduction)
<b>Week 3</b>	Completely randomized design

<b>Week 4</b>	Comparing between averages
<b>Week 5</b>	Comparing between averages
<b>Week 6</b>	Some of Mistakes that Researcher may be do it in experiments.
<b>Week 7</b>	Randomized complete block design
<b>Week 8</b>	Mid-Term Exam.
<b>Week 9</b>	Randomized complete block design (relative efficiency comparing with Complete Randomize Design), estimating missing observation.
<b>Week 10</b>	Latin square design
<b>Week 11</b>	Latin square design (relative efficiency comparing with another two Designs [RCBD, and CRD])
<b>Week 12</b>	Latin square design (estimating the missing observation)
<b>Week 13</b>	Factorial experiments
<b>Week 14</b>	Factorial experiments
<b>Week 15</b>	Scientific visit
<b>Week 16</b>	Term Exams .

### Delivery Plan (Weekly Lab. Syllabus)

	<b>Material Covered</b>
<b>Week 1</b>	Measures of concentration and measures of dispersion
<b>Week 2</b>	Completely randomized design (C.R.D.) solving method
<b>Week 3</b>	Completely randomized design (C.R.D.) some indirect questions and give homework
<b>Week 4</b>	Dunnett test, least significant difference l.s.d.
<b>Week 5</b>	Duncan Multiple Range Test
<b>Week 6</b>	Some of General Mistakes that may be the researcher do it.
<b>Week 7</b>	completely randomized block design direct
<b>Week8</b>	completely randomized block design in direct
<b>Week9</b>	Relative efficiency and missing observations in a completely randomized block design
<b>Week10</b>	Direct questions in Latin square design
<b>Week11</b>	Indirect questions in the Latin square design
<b>Week12</b>	Relative efficiency of the Latin square design
<b>Week13</b>	missing observations in a Latin square design
<b>Week14</b>	Factorial experiments
<b>Week15</b>	Factorial experiments
<b>Week16</b>	Final practical test

Learning and Teaching Resources		
	Text	Available in the Library?
<b>Required Texts</b>	Design and Analysis of Agricultural Experiments Authored by: Dr. Khasha' Al-Rawi and Dr. Abdulaziz Muhammad	Yes
<b>Recommended Texts</b>	Some lectures published on the college website	Yes
<b>Websites</b>	Websites specialized in Statistics and Data Analysis .	

Grading Scheme				
Group	Grade	التقدير	Marks %	Definition
<b>Success Group (50 - 100)</b>	A – Excellent	امتياز	90 - 100	Outstanding Performance
	B - Very Good	جيد جدا	80 - 89	Above average with some errors
	C – Good	جيد	70 - 79	Sound work with notable errors
	D – Satisfactory	متوسط	60 - 69	Fair but with major shortcomings
	E – Sufficient	مقبول	50 - 59	Work meets minimum criteria
<b>Fail Group (0 – 49)</b>	FX – Fail	راسب (قيد المعالجة)	(45-49)	More work required but credit awarded
	F – Fail	راسب	(0-44)	Considerable amount of work required

**Note:** Marks Decimal places above or below 0.5 will be rounded to the higher or lower full mark (for example a mark of 54.5 will be rounded to 55, whereas a mark of 54.4 will be rounded to 54. The University has a policy NOT to condone "near-pass fails" so the only adjustment to marks awarded by the original marker(s) will be the automatic rounding outlined above.



رئيس اللجنة العلمية  
ا.د. محمد احمد مراد